C11-C12-O13	116.9 (5)	C29-C28-C30	112.8 (5)
C11-C12-C16	134.3 (6)	O26-C31-O27	105.8 (5)
O13-C12-C16	108.7 (6)	O26-C31-C32	109.9 (6)
C12-013-C14	106.4 (6)	O26-C31-C33	106.7 (5)
013-C14-C15	111.4 (9)	O27—C31—C32	108.7 (6)
C14-C15-C16	105.9 (9)	O27—C31—C33	114.7 (5)
C12-C16-C15	107.6 (7)	C32-C31-C33	110.8 (6)
C5-C1-C2-C3	-35.7 (4)	C11-C1-C2-C3	-161.0 (5)
C1-C2-C3-N4	19.7 (4)	C1-C2-C3-C20	-105.4 (5)
C2-C3-N4-C5	4.4 (4)	S17-C2-C3-C20	133.8 (4)
C3-N4-C5-C1	-27.2 (4)	C2-C3-C20-C21	-179.1 (5)
N4-C5-C1-C2	37.7 (4)	C2-C3-C20-O24	62.1 (4)
C7-N4-C5-C6	-6.5 (5)	C7-N4-C3-C20	8.6 (4)
N4-C5-C6-C7	5.9 (5)	N4-C3-C20-O24	-56.4 (4)
C5-C6-C7-N4	-6.3 (5)	C3-C20-C21-C22	94.6 (5)
C6C7N4C5	6.5 (5)	C20C21C22C23	179.4 (6)
O8C7C6O9	59.9 (6)	O25-C21-C22-C23	65.7 (5)
C7-C6-09-C10	-86.8 (6)	C20C21C22O26	-65.0 (5)
C1-C5-C6-09	17.0 (4)	O24-C20-C21-O25	-25.6 (4)
C5-C6-09-C10	176.8 (7)	C20C21O25C28	11.0 (4)
C6-C5-C1-C11	-97.6 (5)	C21-O25-C28-O24	7.6 (4)
C5-C1-C11-C12	179.8 (6)	O25-C28-O24-C20	-24.9 (4)
C2-C1-C11-C12	-61.4 (5)	C28-O24-C20-C21	31.1 (4)
C1-C11-C12-013	-74.6 (6)	C21-C22-C23-O27	90.7 (6)
C1-C11-C12-C16	109.9 (7)	O26-C22-C23-O27	-27.2 (5)
C11-C1-C2-S17	-40.5 (4)	C22-C23-O27-C31	31.8 (5)
C1C2S17C18	167.3 (5)	C23-027-C31-026	-23.9 (5)
C2-S17-C18-C19	-171.3 (7)	O27-C31-O26-C22	5.4 (5)
C1-C2-S17-C18'	124.2 (7)	C31-O26-C22-C23	13.5 (5)
C2-S17-C18'-C19'	66.3 (11)		

In (6), the propyl chain on S18 is disordered over two positions (site occupancy factors of 0.5), so in the refinement, distances and angles for this chain were constrained, and displacement parameters kept isotropic. Isotropic displacement parameters of the H atoms were equal to $1.10U_{eq}$ of the parent atom.

In (8), the ethyl chain fixed on S17 is also disordered over two positions (site occupancy factors of 0.67 and 0.33), so, in the refinement, distances and angles for this chain were constrained, and atomic displacement parameters kept isotropic. Isotropic displacement parameters of the H atoms were equal to $1.10U_{eq}$ of the parent atom.

Data collection: Philips PW1100/20 software for (6); Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 software for (8). Data reduction: *PHIL* (Riche, 1981) for (6); *NONIUS* (Riche, 1989) for (8). For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976); molecular graphics: *R3M* (Riche, 1983); *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1965); software used to prepare material for publication: *ACTACIF* (Riche, 1992).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1154). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Anaya, J., Barton, D. H. R., Gero, S. D., Grande, M., Martin, N. & Tachdjian, C. (1993). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 32, 867–869.
- Barton, D. H. R., Gateau-Olesker, A., Anaya-Mateos, J., Cléophax, J., Gero, S. D., Chiaroni, A. & Riche, C. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 3211–3212.
- Ishikura, T. (1979). Jpn. Kokai Tokyo Koho, 54, 59295.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Kahan, J. S., Kahan, F. M., Goegelman, R., Currie, S. A., Jackson, M., Stapley, E. O., Miller, T. W., Miller, A. K., Hendlin, D., Mochales, S., Hernandez, S., Woodruff, H. B. & Birnbaum, J. (1979). J. Antibiot. 32, 1-12.
- Neu, H. C., Novelli, A. & Chin, N.-X. (1989). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 33, 1009-1018.
- Riche, C. (1989). PHIL. Program for Data Reduction for Philips Diffractometer. Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles du CNRS, France.
- Riche, C. (1983). R3M. Représentation et Manipulation de Modèles Moléculaires. Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles du CNRS, France.
- Riche, C. (1989). NONIUS. Program for Data Reduction for Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Diffractometer. Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles du CNRS, France.
- Riche, C. (1992). ACTACIF. Logiciel de Préparation des Fichiers CIF. Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles du CNRS, France.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yamamoto, K., Yoshioka, T., Kato, Y., Shibamoto, N., Okamura, K., Shimauchi, Y. & Ishikura, T. (1980). J. Antibiot. 33, 796–803.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1310-1314

Keto and Enol Tautomers of 4-Benzoyl-3methyl-1-phenyl-5(2*H*)-pyrazolone

YOSHIFUMI AKAMA

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Meisei University, Hodokubo, Hino Tokyo 191, Japan

MOTOO SHIRO

Rigaku Corporation, 3-9-12 Matsubara-cho, Akishima-shi, Tokyo 196, Japan

TOYOTOSHI UEDA

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Meisei University, Hodokubo, Hino Tokyo 191, Japan

MASATUGU KAJITANI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sophia University, Kioi-cho, Tokyo 102, Japan

(Received 5 November 1993; accepted 24 April 1995)

Abstract

The tautomeric keto and enol forms of 4-benzoyl-3methyl-1-phenyl-5(2*H*)-pyrazolone, $C_{17}H_{14}N_2O_2$, have been prepared and their crystal structures characterized by X-ray analysis. The unit cell of the enol form, 4benzoyl-5-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenylpyrazole, contains two structural conformers. The intramolecular distances in the enol form [2.621 (3) and 2.663 (2) Å] indicate the presence of hydrogen bonds, while the corresponding distance in the keto form [3.079 (3) Å] does not. In the keto form there is an intermolecular hydrogen bond [2.673 (3) Å] between the imino N(2) atom and the carbonyl O(1) atom.

Comment

4-Benzoyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-5(2H)-pyrazolone (1) is used widely as an extraction reagent in the separation of trace metals (Akama, Nakai & Kawamura, 1976, 1981; Umetani, Sasayama & Matsui, 1982; Akama, Tong, Ishima & Kajitani, 1992). Further, a number of studies (Akama, Saito, Hayashi, Sato, Tanaka & Kanno, 1993; Zeigan, Engelhardt & Uhlemann, 1981; Maguestiau, Van Haverbeke & Muller, 1975; Wamhoff, Schramm & Korte, 1971) have been made on the ketoenol tautomerism of (1). The structures of the keto form of (1) (O'Connell, Ramsay & Steel, 1985) and a Cu complex of (1) (Miao, Liu & Li, 1991) have been determined, but the crystal structure of the enol form has not yet been determined. This work describes the crystal and molecular structure of the enol form, and provides a more precise structure of the keto form.



The molecular structures of the two independent molecules of the enol form and that of the keto form are shown in Fig. 1.

Bond lengths and angles for the two forms of (1) are given in Tables 3 and 4. The N-N bond lengths average 1.387(3) Å and range from 1.383(3) to 1.390(3) Å. The C=O bond lengths of the carbonyl groups that participate in hydrogen bonds [enol form, C(7)— O(2) 1.247 (3) and 1.244 (3) Å; keto form, C(5)—O(1)1.246(3)Å] are longer than that of the C=O group in the keto form that is not a proton acceptor [C(7)]— O(2) 1.226 (3) Å]. The greater double-bond character of the C(5a) = C(4a) and N(2a) = C(3a) bonds in the enol form and the C(4) = C(3) bond in the keto form was confirmed by the shorter bond lengths. The lengths of the N(1)—C(5) and C(4)—C(7) bonds in the enol form are considerably shorter than those in keto form, though they are both single bonds. These differences can be explained if there is a substantial contribution from the resonance structure shown below. This structure would be expected to increase the strength of the intramolecular hydrogen bond seen in the enol form.



In the IR spectra (KBr pellets) of the crystals of (1), the colorless keto form of (1) exhibits a characteristic broad strong band centered at 2550 cm^{-1} , which is attributed to a stretching of the intermolecular N— $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond. The enol form of (1) shows a broad band at around 3100 cm^{-1} , which is attributed to the O—H vibration of the intramolecular hydrogen bond.

The UV spectra of (1) in *n*-hexane and methanol are shown in Fig. 2. Compound (1) exhibits different absorption spectra in solvents of different polarities. The wavelength of the absorption maxima of (1) in methanol is about 15 nm shorter than that in *n*-hexane.



Fig. 1. Molecular structures of (a) the enol and (b) the keto tautomers of (1), with the atom-numbering scheme. Ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability.



Fig. 2. UV spectra of (1) $(4.49 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } 1^{-1})$: (a) in *n*-hexane; (b) in methanol.

Experimental

Compound (1) was synthesized and purified as reported previously (Jensen, 1959). Crystals of the enol and keto forms were grown from n-hexane and methanol, respectively.

Enol form

Crystal data	
$C_{17}H_{14}N_2O_2$ $M_r = 278.31$ Monoclinic C2/c a = 14.298 (3) Å b = 14.400 (3) Å c = 27.187 (3) Å	Cu K α radiation $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å Cell parameters from 16 reflections $\theta = 20.05-20.77^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.713$ mm ⁻¹ T = 296 (1) K
$\beta = 91.54 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 5595.5 (18) Å^{3}$ Z = 16 $D_x = 1.321 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Prismatic $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.15$ mm Yellow

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractom-
eter
ω -2 θ scans
Absorption correction:
none
3875 measured reflections
3693 independent reflections
2541 observed reflections
$[l > 3\sigma(l)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F
R = 0.038
wR = 0.056
S = 1.21
2541 reflections
380 parameters
H-atom parameters not
refined
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.01$

Keto form

,
$C_{17}H_{14}N_2O_2$
$M_r = 278.31$
Monoclinic
$P2_1/a$
a = 11.440 (4) Å
<i>b</i> = 9.110 (7) Å
c = 13.510 (9) Å
$\beta = 90.8 (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 1407 (1) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.313 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Rigaku RAXIS IIc imaging plate system Absorption correction: none 2192 measured reflections 2192 independent reflections

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ Refinement on F R = 0.063wR = 0.077Extinction correction: S = 2.19secondary 1784 reflections Extinction coefficient: 191 parameters 3.93852×10^{-6} H-atom parameters not Atomic scattering factors refined from International Tables $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ for X-ray Crystallography $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ (1974, Vol. IV)

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å

reflections $\theta = 10-20^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.088 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K

Prismatic

Colorless

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.50 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

1784 observed reflections

 $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.25^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for the enol form

$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

			x	у	Z	B_{eq}
ctom-	$R_{\rm m} = 0.012$	O(1a)	0.1304 (1)	1.0063 (1)	0.05686 (6)	4.47 (5)
ctom	$A_{\rm int} = 0.012$	O(2a)	0.1225 (2)	1.0446 (1)	-0.03899 (6)	5.07 (5)
	$\sigma_{\rm max} = 35$	N(1a)	0.1276 (2)	0.8460(1)	0.06650 (7)	3.67 (5)
	$h = 0 \rightarrow 15$	N(2a)	0.1234 (2)	0.7662 (2)	0.03790 (8)	3.92 (6)
	$k = -15 \rightarrow 0$	C(5a)	0.1306 (2)	0.9219 (2)	0.03759 (9)	3.49 (6)
	$l = -28 \rightarrow 28$	C(4a)	0.1306 (2)	0.8932 (2)	-0.01120 (8)	3.24 (6)
	2 standard reflections	C(3a)	0.1254 (2)	0.7940 (2)	-0.00805 (9)	3.45 (6)
ions	5 standard reflections	C(6a)	0.1216 (2)	0.7211 (2)	-0.04703 (10)	4.41 (7)
ections	monitored every 150	C(7a)	0.1282 (2)	0.9608 (2)	-0.05000 (9)	3.51 (6)
ons	reflections	C(1''a)	0.1308 (2)	0.9372 (2)	-0.10319 (9)	3.26 (6)
	intensity decay: none	C(2''a)	0.0716 (2)	0.9852 (2)	-0.13553 (10)	4.30 (7)
	intensity decay. none	C(3''a)	0.0733 (2)	0.9676 (2)	-0.1852 (1)	5.17 (8)
		C(4''a)	0.1348 (2)	0.9036 (2)	-0.2033 (1)	5.26 (8)
		C(5''a)	0.1949 (2)	0.8568 (2)	-0.1719 (1)	4.95 (8)
	$\Lambda_{1} = 0.16 + \lambda^{-3}$	C(6''a)	0.1929 (2)	0.8731 (2)	-0.12176 (9)	3.97 (7)
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \ {\rm e \ A}$	C(1'a)	0.1234 (2)	0.8394 (2)	0.11851 (9)	3.40 (6)
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$	C(2'a)	0.1690 (2)	0.9024 (2)	0.14874 (10)	4.25 (7)
	Extinction correction:	C(3'a)	0.1620 (2)	0.8944 (2)	0.1988 (1)	4.85 (8)
	secondary	C(4'a)	0.1113 (2)	0.8238 (2)	0.2192 (1)	4.91 (8)
	Eutination coefficients	C(5'a)	0.0675 (2)	0.7605 (2)	0.1889 (1)	4.96 (8)
	Extinction coefficient:	C(6'a)	0.0727 (2)	0.7677 (2)	0.13845 (10)	4.23 (7)
	4.9×10^{-7}	O(1 <i>b</i>)	0.1352 (1)	0.7524 (1)	0.43821 (6)	4.28 (5)
t	Atomic scattering factors	O(2b)	0.1229 (2)	0.8024(1)	0.53052 (7)	5.30 (5)
	from International Tables	N(1 <i>b</i>)	0.1332 (2)	0.5904 (1)	0.43356 (7)	3.70 (5)
	for Y and Constalle anoth	N(2b)	0.1270 (2)	0.5148 (1)	0.46503 (8)	3.96 (6)
	for x-ray Crystallography	C(5b)	0.1346 (2)	0.6701 (2)	0.45983 (9)	3.49 (6)
	(1974, Vol. IV)	C(4b)	0.1320 (2)	0.6477 (2)	0.50948 (9)	3.41 (6)

C(3b)	0.1260 (2)	0.5484 (2)	0.50974 (9)	3.54 (6)
C(6b)	0.1141 (2)	0.4817 (2)	0.55076 (10)	4.37 (7)
C(7b)	0.1308 (2)	0.7210 (2)	0.54530 (9)	3.60 (6)
C(1"b)	0.1374 (2)	0.7047 (2)	0.59947 (9)	3.43 (6)
C(2"b)	0.0849 (2)	0.7589 (2)	0.6301 (1)	4.54 (7)
C(3"b)	0.0902 (2)	0.7463 (2)	0.6805(1)	5.31 (8)
C(4''b)	0.1509 (2)	0.6814 (2)	0.7005(1)	5.36 (8)
C(5''b)	0.2063 (2)	0.6299 (2)	0.6703 (1)	4.78 (7)
C(6''b)	0.1996 (2)	0.6412 (2)	0.61999 (9)	3.96 (7)
C(1'b)	0.1306 (2)	0.5754 (2)	0.38176 (9)	3.69 (6)
C(2'b)	0.1711 (2)	0.6380 (2)	0.35056 (10)	4.39 (7)
C(3'b)	0.1669 (2)	0.6214 (2)	0.3003 (1)	5.04 (8)
C(4' <i>b</i>)	0.1231 (2)	0.5440 (2)	0.2816(1)	5.28 (8)
C(5'b)	0.0837 (2)	0.4815 (2)	0.3132 (1)	5.65 (9)
C(6'b)	0.0875 (2)	0.4967 (2)	0.3635(1)	4.74 (8)

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for the keto form

	x	у	Z	B_{eq}
O(1)	0.3480(1)	0.1695 (2)	0.6953 (1)	4.89 (5)
O(2)	0.4333 (1)	0.3549 (2)	0.8726(1)	5.53 (5)
N(1)	0.1555 (2)	0.2411 (2)	0.6744 (1)	4.14 (5)
N(2)	0.0797 (2)	0.3329 (2)	0.7238 (2)	4.47 (5)
C(3)	0.1280 (2)	0.3719 (3)	0.8103 (2)	4.32 (6)
C(4)	0.2410 (2)	0.3178 (3)	0.8159 (2)	4.11 (6)
C(5)	0.2610 (2)	0.2363 (3)	0.7268 (2)	4.07 (6)
C(6)	0.0606 (2)	0.4689 (3)	0.8764 (2)	5.90 (8)
C(7)	0.3304 (2)	0.3376 (3)	0.8942 (2)	4.23 (6)
C(1")	0.2952 (2)	0.3308 (3)	0.9998 (2)	4.16 (6)
C(2")	0.3648 (2)	0.4016 (3)	1.0718 (2)	4.64 (6)
C(3")	0.3366 (2)	0.3967 (3)	1.1700 (2)	5.24 (7)
C(4'')	0.2409 (3)	0.3146 (3)	1.2001 (2)	5.68 (8)
C(5'')	0.1735 (3)	0.2401 (3)	1.1306 (2)	5.62 (8)
C(6'')	0.1994 (2)	0.2506 (3)	1.0308 (2)	4.87 (7)
C(1')	0.1314 (2)	0.1974 (3)	0.5755 (2)	4.47 (6)
C(2')	0.1864 (3)	0.0739 (4)	0.5382 (2)	6.01 (8)
C(3')	0.1596 (3)	0.0289 (5)	0.4428 (2)	7.4 (1)
C(4')	0.0827 (3)	0.1055 (5)	0.3841 (2)	7.16 (10)
C(5')	0.0292 (3)	0.2270 (5)	0.4216 (2)	7.16 (10)
C(6')	0.0529 (2)	0.2747 (4)	0.5172 (2)	5.99 (8)

Table 3. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for the enol form

	5		
O(1a)—O(2a)	2.663 (2)	O(1 <i>b</i>)—O(2 <i>b</i>)	2.621 (3)
O(1a) - C(5a)	1.323 (3)	O(1b) - C(5b)	1.323 (3)
O(2a) - C(7a)	1.247 (3)	O(2b) - C(7b)	1.244 (3)
N(1a) - N(2a)	1.387 (3)	N(1b)N(2b)	1.390 (3)
N(1a) - C(5a)	1.348 (3)	N(1b)—C(5b)	1.351 (3)
N(1a) - C(1'a)	1.420 (3)	N(1b) - C(1'b)	1.424 (3)
N(2a) - C(3a)	1.313 (3)	N(2b) - C(3b)	1.309 (3)
C(5a) - C(4a)	1.390 (3)	C(4b)—C(5b)	1.389 (3)
C(4a) - C(3a)	1.433 (3)	C(3b)—C(4b)	1.432 (3)
C(4a) - C(7a)	1.436 (3)	C(4b)—C(7b)	1.436 (4)
C(3a) - C(6a)	1.491 (4)	C(3b)—C(6b)	1.485 (4)
C(7a) - C(1''a)	1.487 (3)	$C(7b) \rightarrow C(1''b)$	1.492 (4)
N(2a) - N(1a) - C(5a)	110.3 (2)	N(2b)—N(1b)—C(5b)	109.9 (2)
N(2a) - N(1a) - C(1'a)	120.0 (2)	N(2b) - N(1b) - C(1'b)	119.3 (2)
$C(5a) \rightarrow N(1a) \rightarrow C(1'a)$	129.6 (2)	C(5b)— $N(1b)$ — $C(1'b)$	130.6 (2)
N(1a) - C(5a) - C(4a)	108.4 (2)	N(1b) - C(5b) - C(4b)	108.4 (2)
N(1a) - N(2a) - C(3a)	106.2 (2)	N(1b) - N(2b) - C(3b)	106.5 (2)
O(1a) - C(5a) - N(1a)	120.9 (2)	O(1b) - C(5b) - N(1b)	121.7 (2)
C(5a) - C(4a) - C(3a)	103.8 (2)	C(5b) - C(4b) - C(3b)	103.9 (2)
C(5a)— $C(4a)$ — $C(7a)$	119.9 (2)	C(5b) - C(4b) - C(7b)	119.3 (2)
N(2a) - C(3a) - C(4a)	111.3 (2)	N(2b) - C(3b) - C(4b)	111.3 (2)
N(2a)— $C(3a)$ — $C(6a)$	117.4 (2)	N(2b) - C(3b) - C(6b)	117.5 (2)
O(2a) - C(7a) - C(4a)	118.7 (2)	O(2b) - C(7b) - C(4b)	118.4 (2)
$C(4a) \rightarrow C(7a) \rightarrow C(1''a)$	124.0 (2)	$C(4b) \rightarrow C(7b) \rightarrow C(1''b)$	123.5 (2)
$C(5a) \rightarrow O(1a) \rightarrow H(1a)$	101.7	C(5b)— $O(1b)$ — $H(1b)$	103.6
O(1a)—C(5a	a)N(1a)N(2	2a) 176.8 (2)	
O(2a)— $C(7a)$	a) - C(4a) - C(4a)	5a) -2.8(4)	
O(2a)—C(7a	a) - C(1''a) - C	(2''a) -41.3(4)	
N(1a)N(2	a)—C(3a)—C(4	(4a) -0.2(3)	

	-(/	- (-	,		,	
I(1a)-	-N(2a)-	-C(3	a)—(C(4a)		-0.2

N(1a) - N(2a) - C(3a) - C(6a)	-180.0(2)
N(1a) - C(5a) - C(4a) - C(3a)	1.2 (3)
N(1a) - C(5a) - C(4a) - C(7a)	176.6 (2)
N(2a) - N(1a) - C(5a) - C(4a)	-1.4 (3)
N(2a) - C(3a) - C(4a) - C(5a)	-0.6 (3)
C(5a) $N(1a)$ $N(2a)$ $C(3a)$	1.0 (3
C(5a) $N(1a)$ $C(1'a)$ $C(2'a)$	-35.2 (4)
$C(4a) \rightarrow C(5a) \rightarrow N(1a) \rightarrow C(1'a)$	-177.7 (2)
O(1b)— $C(5b)$ — $N(1b)$ — $N(2b)$	-176.3 (3)
O(2b) - C(7b) - C(4b) - C(5b)	7.0 (4)
O(2b) - C(7b) - C(1''b) - C(2''b)	37.2 (4)
N(1b) - N(2b) - C(3b) - C(4b)	-0.2(3)
N(1b) - N(2b) - C(3b) - C(6b)	176.6 (2)
N(1b) - C(5b) - C(4b) - C(3b)	-1.8(3)
N(1b) - C(5b) - C(4b) - C(7b)	-178.7 (2)
N(2b) - N(1b) - C(5b) - C(4b)	1.8 (3)
N(2b) - C(3b) - C(4b) - C(5b)	1.3 (3)
C(5b)-N(1b)-N(2b)-C(3b)	-1.0 (3)
$C(5b) \rightarrow N(1b) \rightarrow C(1'b) \rightarrow C(2'b)$	30.6 (4)
$C(4b) \rightarrow C(5b) \rightarrow N(1b) \rightarrow C(1'b)$	176.8 (2)

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for the keto

form			
O(1) - O(2)	3.079 (3)	C(4)—C(3)	1.385 (3)
D(1)—C(5)	1.246 (3)	C(4)—C(7)	1.472 (3)
D(2) - C(7)	1.226 (3)	C(3)—C(6)	1.480 (4)
N(1)—C(5)	1.391 (3)	C(7) - C(1'')	1.490 (3)
N(1) - C(1')	1.418 (3)	N(2)—H(2N)	0.95
N(2) - C(3)	1.334 (3)	N(1)—N(2)	1.383 (3)
C(5)—C(4)	1.435 (4)		
N(2)N(1)C(5)	108.6 (2)	C(5)—C(4)—C(7)	123.3 (2)
N(2) - N(1) - C(1')	120.7 (2)	N(2)—C(3)—C(4)	109.1 (2)
C(5) - N(1) - C(1')	128.8 (2)	N(2)—C(3)—C(6)	118.3 (3)
N(1)—C(5)—C(4)	105.3 (2)	O(2)—C(7)—C(4)	120.3 (2)
N(1)N(2)C(3)	109.2 (2)	C(4)—C(7)—C(1'')	119.3 (2)
D(1)—C(5)—N(1)	122.2 (2)	N(1)N(2)H(2N)	119.4
C(5)—C(4)—C(3)	107.4 (2)	C(3)N(2)H(2N)	123.8
O(1)—C(5)-	-N(1)-N(2)	-175.0 (2)	
O(2)—C(7)—	-C(4)-C(5)	37.3 (4)	
O(2)—C(7)—	-C(1")-C(2") 26.1 (3)	
N(1)N(2)-	-C(3)-C(4)	5.5 (3)	
N(1)N(2)-	-C(3)-C(6)	-179.1 (2)	
N(1)—C(5)—	-C(4)-C(3)	-2.6 (3)	
N(1)-C(5)-	C(4)C(7)	178.7 (2)	
N(2)N(1)-	C(5)C(4)	5.8 (3)	
N(2)—C(3)-	C(4)C(5)	-1.8 (3)	
C(5)—N(1)-	-N(2)-C(3)	-7.2 (3)	
C(5)N(1)	-C(1')-C(2')	37.4 (3)	
C(4)—C(5)—	-N(1)-C(1')	169.7 (2)	

The structures were solved (Sheldrick, 1985; Beurskens, Admiraal, Beurskens, Bosman, Garcia-Granda, Gould, Smits & Smykalla, 1992) by direct methods and were refined by fullmatrix least squares. H atoms were geometrically positioned, excluding those of the hydroxyl (enol form), imino (keto form) and methyl groups which were located in difference Fourier maps. Computations were performed using the TEXSAN program package (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) on an Iris Indigo computer at the Rigaku Corporation.

We are deeply thankful to Mr T. Sato (Rigaku Corporation) for intensity measurements on a Rigaku **RAXIS IIc diffractometer.**

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK0003). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

1314

References

- Akama, Y., Nakai, T. & Kawamura, F. (1976). Bunseki Kagaku, 25, 496-500.
- Akama, Y., Nakai, T. & Kawamura, F. (1981). Analyst (London), 106, 250-253.
- Akama, Y., Saito, H., Hayashi, A., Sato, K., Tanaka, S. & Kanno, H. (1993). Bunseki Kagaku, 42, 115-118.
- Akama, Y., Tong, A., Ishima, S. & Kajitani, M. (1992). Anal. Sci. 8, 41-44.
- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., Garcia-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1992). *The DIRDIF Program System*. Technical Report. Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Jensen, B. S. (1959). Acta Chem. Scand. 13, 1668-1670.
- Maquestiau, A., Van Haverbeke, Y. & Muller, R. N. (1975). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 12, 85–90.
- Miao, F., Liu, X. & Li, Y. (1991). J. Inorg. Chem. (Chin.), 7, 129-132. Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive,
- The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA. O'Connell, M. J., Ramsay, C. G. & Steel, P. J. (1985). Aust. J. Chem. 38, 401–409.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Umetani, S., Sasayama, K. & Matsui, M. (1982). Anal. Chim. Acta, 134, 327-331.
- Wamhoff, H., Schramm, D. & Korte, F. (1971). Synthesis, pp. 216– 217.
- Zeigan, D., Engelhardt, G. & Uhlemann, E. (1981). Z. Chem. 21, 187-188.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1314-1319

Three Lactone Fused Perhydroisoxazolo-[2,3-*a*]pyridines: a Conformational Study

ANGEL ALVAREZ-LARENA AND JUAN F. PINIELLA

Unidad de Cristalografía, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

PAU CID, PEDRO DE MARCH, MARTA FIGUEREDO, JOSEP FONT, SERGIO MILÁN AND ANGELES SORIA

Departamento de Química, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

(Received 19 September 1994; accepted 24 November 1994)

Abstract

(3aRS, 9aSR, 9bSR)-Octahydro-1*H*-furo [3', 4':4,5] isoxazolo[2,3-a]pyridin-1-one (C₉H₁₃NO₃), (4a*RS*,10a*RS*, 10b*RS*)-octahydro-1*H*, 3*H*-pyrano [3', 4':4,5] isoxazolo-[2,3-a]pyridin-1-one (C₁₀H₁₅NO₃), and (5a*RS*,11a*RS*, 11b*RS*)-decahydro-1*H*-oxepino[3', 4':4,5] isoxazolo[2,3-a]-pyridin-1-one (C₁₁H₁₇NO₃), *exo* products of the reaction between 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyridine 1-oxide and five-, six- and seven-membered α,β -unsaturated lac-

tones, adopt, in the solid state, the preponderant conformation observed in chloroform or acetone solution. The perhydroisoxazolo [2,3-a] pyridine substructure presents a cis fusion when the lactone ring is fivemembered and a trans fusion when it is six- or sevenmembered. In the three compounds, the piperidine ring exhibits a more or less distorted chair conformation depending on the product. The lactone ring conformation involves a local pseudo twofold axis running through the carbonyl C atom (five-membered lactone) or through the midpoint of the C-C bond that joins the carbonyl group to the ring junction (six- and seven-membered lactones). On the other hand, the isoxazolidine ring shows an envelope conformation when the lactone ring is six- or seven-membered, whereas an intermediate conformation between the envelope and the half-chair is observed when the lactone is five-membered.

Comment

The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrones to alkenes has proved to be a powerful synthetic tool for the preparation of nitrogen-containing natural products (for recent reviews, see Tufariello, 1984; Torssell, 1988; Annunziata, Cinquini, Cozzi & Raimondi, 1989). Electron-deficient unsymmetrically 1,2-disubstituted olefins add regiospecifically to nitrones affording only isoxazolidines with the electron-withdrawing group attached to the 4-position. In this context, the reactions between the nitrone (1) and α,β -unsaturated lactones (2a)–(2c) have been studied (Cid *et al.*, 1993). In this cycloaddition, *exo* adducts have been obtained as major or exclusive products.



The cycloadducts formed contain the perhydroisoxazolo[2,3-a]pyridine substructure which may exist in solution as a mixture of one rigid *trans* and two flexi-